

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignis 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/512,942	02/25/2000	THEODORE H. FEDYNYSHYN	101328-148	6865
	21125	7590 06/27/2003			
	NUTTER MCCLENNEN & FISH LLP			EXAMINER	
	WORLD TRADE CENTER WEST 155 SEAPORT BOULEVARD BOSTON, MA 02210-2604		CHU, JOHN S Y  ART UNIT PAPER NU	HN S Y	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1752	
				DATE MAILED: 06/27/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/512,942	FEDYNYSHYN, THEODORE H.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John S. Chu	1752				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	<u> 13 June 2003</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Notice  Output  Description:	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office	e Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 21				

Application/Control Number: 09/512,942

Art Unit: 1752

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office action is in response to the RCE filed June 13, 2003.

The rejection over KAWAMURA et al is withdrawn in view of the declaration evidence presented by applicant under Rule 132.

## **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-17 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-16 of copending Application No. 10/082,399. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: a photoresist composition comprising a resin binder and an encapsulated inorganic material comprising core particles at least partially coated with a moiety having a protected acidic group as recited in 10/082399. 09/512942 claims a positive photosensitive resist composition comprising a resin binder and an encapsulated inorganic

Application/Control Number: 09/512,942

Art Unit: 1752

Page 3

the photoresist is sufficiently base soluble upon activation by radiation to function as a positive

material comprising core particles having an average size less than about 10 nanometers, wherein

resist.

A patent to either application would extend the grant to the invention. Further the recited

compositions may possess the same binder that can be used to encapsulate the inorganic

particles. Application 10/082399 has a recited moiety having a protected acidic group, however

this claim meets the resin binder of 09/512942 wherein the binders disclosed in the dependent

claims are the same.

It would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of photoresist

compositions to use a binder as disclosed in 09/512,942 as the moiety having an acid protected

group in 10/082399 as a resin binder with the reasonable expectation of same or similar results

for a photoresist composition having increased plasma etch selectivity as disclosed.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting

claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 09/512,942

Art Unit: 1752

4. Claims 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by

NAGASHIMA et al.

The claimed invention is drawn to a positive photosensitive resist composition comprising a resin binder and an encapsulated inorganic material comprising core particles having an average size less than about 10 nanometers, wherein the photoresist is sufficiently base soluble upon activation by radiation to function as a positive resist.

Page 4

NAGASHIMA et al anticipates the claimed invention at Examples 1 and 2 by reciting a novolak resin, which has been condensed with a naphthoquinonediazide sulfonyl, compound and possesses particles of silicon dioxide having an average size of 16mµ. The claims are anticipated.

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. ELSAESSER et al recited a photosensitive resin composition comprising particles of 15 μm.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Chu whose telephone number is (703) 308-2298. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday from 9:30 am to 6:00 pm.

The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-7718.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

ohn S. Chu

-Primary Examiner, Group 1700

J.Chu

June 26, 2003